

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONIE LEPATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO BOX 1450 Alexa-Grand Aligners 22333-1450 www.uspix.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10 083,644	02 27 2002	Yuuji Enomoto	381NT 50972	1814	
23911 75	05-07,2003				
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, HANH N		
			2834		

DATE MAILED: 05 07 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/083,644		ENOMOTO ET AL.
		Examiner		Art Unit
		Nguyen N Han	h	2834
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence address
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated processed by the Office later than three months after the material part of the processed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory r iod will apply and will expli- titude, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tir minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication (a) filed and	0.5-6		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL . 2b)			
3)□	/	This action is non-		
, —	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	owance except for er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e	formal matters, pi e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		eration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requir	ement	
Applicati	on Papers		J. 1. 3. 1. 1.	
9)🖾 7	he specification is objected to by the Exami.	ner.		
10)⊠ Т	he drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted	or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ approv	red b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		ction.	
12)[T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a)[∑	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rec	eived.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rec	eived in Application	on No
	B.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E se the attached detailed Office action for a lis	iority documents h Bureau (PCT Rule	ave been receive	d in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
а)	☐ The translation of the foreign language p cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional applicat	ion has been rece	eived.
ttachment(-	33	— · •
☐ Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac D-326 (Rev.				Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Remarks

2. In view of amendments, the Examiner withdraws the objection of the first office action to the specification and the rejection under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, to claims 4,6,9 and 10.

Specification

3. Claim 9 is objected to because there is no antecedent basis for "said laminated steel plates". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 1,4,5,7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fritzsche.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 1, Fritzsche discloses an electric motor comprising; a stator core formed by an integrated set of a plurality of split core blocks (10 In Fig. 1); a core section having a metallic connection housing (12 in Fig. 5) that connects the individual split core blocks (18) in dovetailed form to create one connected core section, said stator core being connected to the outer surface of the metallic connection housing; wherein the dovetailed connections at said connection housing are plastically deformed by punching to remove the connection gap existing at each of the dovetailed connections (Col. 4, lines 33-53 and Fig. 2-8).

Regarding claim 4, Fritzsche also discloses an motor comprising: a stator; a rotor so positioned as to be freely rotatable around said stator; a stator core (10 in Fig. 1-7) that constituting said stator; a core section constituting said stator core; a plurality of split core blocks (18) constituting said core section; and a metallic connection housing (12) connecting said split core blocks so as to form one integrated core section, wherein a magnetic pole tooth section formed by each of said split core blocks comprises a coil winding drum portion (28 in Fig. 7), an outer-surface magnetic pole portion provided at the outer-surface front end of said coil winding drum and spread in a circumferential direction, and a support portion provided at the inner-surface front end of the coil winding drum; wherein said magnetic pole tooth section whose outer-surface magnetic pole portion is positioned at the outer-surface side and whose coil winding drum is radially positioned is connected in dovetailed form to said connection housing positioned at the inner-surface side of said support portion; wherein an engagement protrusion (24 and 26) or engagement recess for dovetailed connection is provided on

Art Unit: 2834

the inner surface of the support portion and an engagement protrusion (14) or engagement recess for dovetailed connection is provided on the outer surface of the connection housing so as to fit into the engagement protrusion or engagement recess on the inner surface of the support portion; and wherein the engagement protrusion (14) or engagement recess for dovetailed connection, provided in the connection housing, is elastically deformed by punching from an axial direction of the stator core to remove the connection gap existing between the engagement protrusion and engagement recess that fit one another (Col. 4, lines 33-53 and Fig. 2-8).

Regarding claim 5, Fritzsche also discloses an electric motor comprising: a stator; a rotor so positioned as to be freely rotatable around said stator; a stator core (10 in Fig. 1-7) that constituting said stator; a core section constituting said stator core; a plurality of split core blocks (18) constituting said core section; and a metallic connection housing (12) connecting said split core blocks so as to form one integrated core section, wherein a magnetic pole tooth section formed by each of said split core blocks comprises a coil winding drum portion (28 in Fig. 7), an outer-surface magnetic pole portion provided at the outer-surface front end of said coil winding drum and spread in a circumferential direction, and a support portion provided at the inner-surface front end of the coil winding drum; wherein said magnetic pole tooth section whose outer-surface magnetic pole portion is positioned at the outer-surface side and whose coil winding drum is radially positioned is connected in dovetailed form to said connection housing positioned at the inner-surface side of said support portion; wherein an engagement recess (the chamfer of the protrusion 24 and 26) for dovetailed connection is formed on

Art Unit: 2834

the inner surface of the support portion and an engagement protrusion (14) for dovetailed connection is formed on the outer surface of the connection housing so as to protrude from the outer surface of the connection housing to ensure a fit into the engagement recess on the inner surface of the support portion; and wherein the engagement protrusion (14) or engagement recess for dovetailed connection, provided in the connection housing, is elastically deformed by punching from an axial direction of the stator core to remove the connection gap existing between the engagement protrusion and engagement recess that fit one another (Col. 4, lines 33-53 and Fig. 2-8).

Regarding claim 7, Fritzsche also discloses an electric motor wherein the connection gap is of a level at which the volume of the metallic material extruded by said plastic deformation is permissible (inherent because stator pole 28 is not deformed).

Regarding claim 8, Fritzsche also discloses an electric motor wherein said connection housing to which the dieing tool to be used for forming by means of said plastic deformation, such as a punch (40 in Fig. 8), is provided with pre-holing, pre-punching (holes 16), or other preliminary machining, to ensure guidance for supporting the dieing tool (40 in Fig. 8).

Regarding claim 9, Fritzsche also discloses an electric motor wherein said means of plastic deformation fastens split core blocks to said connection housing and in the lateral laminating direction of said laminated steel plates.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 10, Fritzsche also discloses an electric motor wherein said plastic deformation flares said support portion in its circumferential direction to remove the adjacent gaps between the supports of said adjacent magnetic pole teeth.

Regarding claim 11, Fritzsche also discloses an electric motor comprising: a stator core formed by an integrated set of a plurality of split core blocks; a core section having a metallic connection housing that connects the individual split core blocks in dovetailed form to create one connected core section; and wherein the dovetailed connections at said connection housing are elastically deformed by punching from an axial direction of said stator core to remove the connection gap existing at each of the dovetailed connections (Col. 4, lines 33-53 and Fig. 2-8).

Regarding claim 12, it is noted that all limitations of the method claim have been fulfilled by Fritzsche as in claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritzsche in view of Kazama et al. and further in view of Pierson.

Regarding claim 2, Fritzsche shows all limitations of the claimed invention except showing the split core blocks are each formed of laminated steel plates and said connection housing is formed of a material softer than said laminated steel plates.

Art Unit: 2834

However, Kazama et al. disclose an electric machine wherein split core blocks are each formed of laminated steel plates for the purpose of reducing cost.

Moreover, Pierson discloses an electric machine wherein the connection housing is formed of a material (brass or aluminum as described in Col. 2, lines 35-40) softer than said laminated steel stator teeth for the purpose of accommodating the stator teeth.

Since Fritzsche, Kazama et al. and Pierson are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Kazama et al. and Pierson would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Fritzsche.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Fritzsche by using core blocks are each formed of laminated steel plates and said connection housing is formed of a material softer than said laminated steel plates as taught by Kazama et al. and Pierson for the purpose of for the purpose of reducing cost and accommodating the stator teeth.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritzsche in view of Pierson.

Regarding claim 3, Fritzsche shows all limitations of the claimed invention except showing said connection housing is formed of a material softer than said laminated steel plates.

However, Pierson discloses an electric machine wherein the connection housing is formed of a material (brass or aluminum as described in Col. 2, lines 35-40) softer

Art Unit: 2834

than said laminated steel stator teeth for the purpose of accommodating the stator teeth.

Since Fritzsche, and Pierson are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Pierson would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Fritzsche.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Fritzsche by using the connection housing is formed of a material softer than said laminated steel plates as taught by Pierson for the purpose of for the purpose of accommodating the stator teeth.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritzsche.

Regarding claim 6, Fritzsche discloses the claimed invention except for showing an electric motor wherein said connection housing is formed by cold forging, die-casting, or the like. However, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)305-3466. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703)308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3431 for regular communications and (703)305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1782.

HNN

April 23, 2003

- 72 -